

A Study on the Influence of Classroom Language Environments on Vocabulary Semantic Development in Preschool Children

WangQing*

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha

ABSTRACT

The complexity of classroom language environments significantly influences the systematic construction of preschool children's lexical-semantic systems. With advances in neurolinguistics and social interaction theories, educational researchers increasingly focus on the long-term effects of early language input quality on children's cognitive development. As critical settings for language acquisition, preschool institutions urgently need to optimize their language interaction strategies based on empirical evidence. This study integrates relevant literature selected from the Open Access Library, the Education Resources Information Center, the Directory of Open Access Journals, and the Academic Publishing Network databases between January 2007 and June 2024. Utilizing CiteSpace software for knowledge map visualization analysis, to systematically map research hotspots, developmental trajectories, and existing limitations concerning classroom language environments and lexical-semantic development. Findings indicate that current academic discourse primarily focuses on the following dimensions: first, the mechanisms through which language environments influence lexical development; second, the mechanisms through which language environments influence lexical development; third, the systematic integration of environmental factors; and fourth, the systematic integration of environmental factors. Despite the positive trend of diverse perspectives and expanded content in current research, systemic deficiencies persist: research perspectives remain homogeneous with insufficient attention to environmental dynamics; studies on micro-level mechanisms lack depth and yield poor teaching application outcomes; research on cultural adaptability and individual differences exhibits significant shortcomings. Consequently, future research should broaden and deepen its scope, prioritizing the differential characteristics of language interaction across diverse cultural backgrounds and educational settings; Strengthen the organic integration of empirical research and theoretical innovation, rigorously validating and strive to construct a scientifically effective language support system for preschool education, achieving systematic optimization in environmental design, teacher-child interaction, curriculum development, and assessment feedback. These explorations will provide a solid theoretical foundation and actionable practical solutions for enhancing the appropriateness and effectiveness of language environments in preschool education.

Keywords: Classroom Language Environment; Preschool Children; Vocabulary Semantic Development

INTRODUCTION

Research on preschool children's lexical-semantic development has become a key focus in international studies of child language development and education. In recent years, international research has begun to delve into the classroom language environment's influence on children's lexical-semantic development. However, existing studies have not provided effective insights for addressing questions such as “What exactly constitutes the language environment in Chinese kindergartens?” and “What specific roles do teachers play within the classroom language environment?” Therefore, this study employs a combined approach of standardized language testing, teacher surveys, and classroom video analysis to focus on identifying the elements of classroom language environments that support children's lexical-semantic development. This provides crucial research evidence for enhancing the quality of classroom language environments and creating better conditions for children's language

development.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Fundamental Theories of Early Childhood Language Development and Acquisition: activism, Acquisitivism, and Interactionism are the three core theories interpreting the process of children's language acquisition. These theories analyze the mechanisms and factors of language acquisition from different perspectives, with Interactionism currently being the widely accepted theory in the field of childhood language research.

The proponents of the environmental determinism theory are Skinner and Ausubel. They both believe that children's acquisition of linguistic symbols can be explained by the “stimulus-response” process (Piliangsheng, 1997, p.98). For example, presenting a word (stimulus) prompts students to repeat and practice it (response). However, modern child development research does not endorse this theory. On one hand, vocabulary acquisition is not merely memorizing word meanings but involves gaining lexical knowledge and skills. Children acquiring a word must master a series of related competencies encompassing phonology, semantics, grammar, and pragmatics (Goulden, Nation & Read, 1990). On the other hand, children's language development follows distinct stages. Before reaching one stage, children cannot acquire the abilities of the next stage. Thus, the environmental determinism view—which posits that language acquisition depends solely on external environmental stimuli—cannot fully explain the process of children's vocabulary acquisition or the stage-like progression of their language development.

The nativist theory asserts that humans are born with a language acquisition device (LAD), with Noam Chomsky being its most influential proponent. Chomsky proposed Generative Grammar, positing that children possess a Language Acquisition Device (LAD) containing universal linguistic rules. He further argued that children acquire language not through inductive summarization of linguistic input from their environment, but directly through transformations within the LAD. From the generative grammar perspective, the environment is seen as a “trigger” for children's language development, primarily exerting an activating effect and a shaping effect. The activating effect refers to the unfolding and manifestation of potential under environmental influence, while the shaping effect refers to guiding which language a child adopts as their mother tongue. Lambert further elaborated on the nativist explanation of children's language acquisition mechanisms from the perspective of neurobiological research. He emphasized that biological inheritance is the decisive factor in human language acquisition, with language emerging as a product of the maturation of human brain functions. The cognitive interaction theory posits that language development results from the interaction between the individual and the environment, with its core proponents being Piaget and Vygotsky. Piaget argued that language originates from children's cognitive potential and is generated through the processes of assimilation and accommodation with the environment, thereby producing new language.

Cognitive interaction theory posits that language development results from the interaction between the individual and the environment, with its core proponents being Piaget and Vygotsky. Piaget believed language emerges from children's cognitive potential and is generated through two processes—assimilation and accommodation—with the environment, thereby producing new language. Assimilation involves understanding unfamiliar language using familiar structures. Accommodation involves creating new language using familiar structures. Cognitive interactionism also asserts that language, in turn, promotes cognitive development: “While speech arises from partially structured intellect, speech also constitutes intelligence” (Piaget, translated by Wang Xiandian, p. 31). Although cognitive interactionism acknowledges the influence of environment on children's language development, it places greater emphasis on the child's internal factors—particularly cognitive abilities—as the decisive elements in language acquisition.

METHOD

Documentary Method

The documentary research method, also known as the historical document method, refers to a research path that systematically and accurately investigates a specific problem by reading, analyzing and sorting out relevant literature. The core of this method is to critically sort out and synthesize existing written records, rather than directly collecting empirical data. It is especially suitable for a panoramic grasp of the current research status of a certain field, or the basic stage of constructing a research



framework at the theoretical level.

This study mainly uses the literature review method to systematically sort out and analyze the existing relevant research results, and on this basis, construct an analytical framework containing four variable groups: classroom environment quality, language environment factors, teacher language guidance, and children's vocabulary semantic development level (as shown in Tables 3-5). The framework is not a simple list of variables, but aims to establish rigorous logical associations between classifications, groups of variables, core content, evaluation methods and research tools. Specifically, it clearly outlines the interaction path between the groups of variables: the quality of the classroom environment creates the basic background for the language environment, and the language environment factors directly affect the teacher's instructional language decision-making, and the teacher's language guidance behavior is the key mediator to promote the semantic development of children's vocabulary. This structured design ensures the multi-dimensionality of the research perspective and the systematization of the analysis process, laying a solid theoretical foundation for subsequent in-depth exploration of the causal relationship between variables. Through this framework, research can provide a more comprehensive examination of the complex links between educational settings and children's language proficiency development.

Measurement Method

This study employs the Chinese Picture Vocabulary Test (CPVT) to assess children's early vocabulary semantic development. The CPVT is a child vocabulary assessment tool newly developed and original to the Chinese context, based on the Chinese child corpus (CHILDES) and utilizing adaptive intelligent assessment technology. The test is applicable for children aged 2.5 to 6 years. Vocabulary for the preschool section is sourced from the CHILDES corpus, while the elementary school section draws from the People's Education Press Chinese textbooks. Word classes were categorized according to the Modern Chinese Dictionary (2016 edition) and the Modern Semantic Dictionary (2019 edition), identifying four categories: nouns, verbs, adjectives, and measure words. This tool demonstrates good reliability and validity, with an internal consistency reliability coefficient of 0.75 (Zhang, Dai, & Zhou, 2021).

The CPVT is a child vocabulary assessment tool newly developed and original to the Chinese context, based on the Chinese child corpus (CHILDES) and utilizing adaptive intelligent assessment technology. This technological foundation is a significant advantage; the adaptive testing algorithm tailors the difficulty of subsequent items based on the child's previous responses, making the assessment both efficient and precise, while minimizing testing fatigue for young participants. The test is applicable for children aged 2.5 to 6 years. Vocabulary for the preschool section is sourced from the CHILDES corpus, which ensures the words are ecologically valid and representative of the language input children naturally encounter. Meanwhile, the elementary school section draws from the People's Education Press Chinese textbooks, thereby creating a seamless bridge between informal acquisition and formal education, and allowing for the tracking of vocabulary development relevant to the early academic curriculum. Word classes were categorized according to the Modern Chinese Dictionary (2016 edition) and the Modern Semantic Dictionary (2019 edition), identifying four categories: nouns, verbs, adjectives, and measure words. This deliberate categorization enables a fine-grained analysis of developmental patterns across different grammatical and semantic domains, offering insights into which word classes are acquired more readily. This tool demonstrates good reliability and validity, with an internal consistency reliability coefficient of 0.75 (Zhang, Dai, & Zhou, 2021), a robust statistic that confirms the test items consistently measure the same underlying construct of vocabulary knowledge.

Video Analysis Method

Video has a long history as a research tool and is widely used in anthropology, sociology, psychology and education. With the continuous advancement of video and recording technology, video acquisition, analysis and processing have become more convenient and feasible. As a new way to investigate teachers' teaching behavior, classroom video analysis is valuable in its ability to deeply analyze the real teaching process, reveal how the key factors that affect teaching effectiveness work, and further elucidate how these factors effectively promote the development of children's vocabulary-semantic skills (Zheng, T. N., & Tong, Y. T., 2012). By recording classroom teaching videos, researchers can fully capture the full picture of teacher-student interaction in daily situations, so as to present the real context of language teaching in a panoramic manner. This method can obtain the most direct, detailed and comprehensive raw data on teachers' language teaching behavior, and its advantage



is that it can record verbal and non-verbal behaviors and specific situational information, which is difficult to achieve with traditional observation notes or recall records. After data collection, the analysis will be conducted in depth around the recorded video material and its transcription text (see the "Qualitative Data Collection and Analysis" section below). This video-based retrospective analysis allows researchers to repeatedly examine key teaching events and conduct micro-level interactive analysis, thereby unearthing the hidden laws and meanings in teaching behavior.

Interview Method

Interviews represent a fundamentally rich method within educational research, particularly valuable for collecting nuanced qualitative data that illuminates the complex realities of teaching practices. Unlike surveys, which often yield standardized but potentially superficial responses, or observations, which capture behavior but not underlying rationale, teacher interviews facilitate a dialogic space where educators can articulate their beliefs, decision-making processes, and contextualized experiences in their own words. This method is especially potent for probing areas where the why behind actions is as crucial as the what, such as pedagogical choices, resource utilization, and adaptations to student needs. By engaging teachers in semi-structured or open-ended conversations, researchers can uncover tacit knowledge, deeply held values, and situational constraints that significantly shape classroom practice but remain inaccessible through other instruments. This depth is critical for studies aiming to move beyond surface-level descriptions to grasp the cognitive and affective dimensions of teaching.

The flexibility inherent in interviews allows researchers to tailor their approach to specific research objectives. Common formats include individual semi-structured interviews, which provide depth through personalized probing based on a teacher's initial responses, and focus group interviews (FGDs), which leverage group dynamics to generate discussion, reveal consensus, or expose divergent viewpoints among peers.

The primary strength of interviews in this context lies in their capacity to generate rich, contextualized insights into complex pedagogical phenomena like vocabulary instruction and resource use. They empower teachers as knowledgeable informants, providing explanations for their choices and revealing the interplay between their beliefs, training, available resources, institutional expectations, and student characteristics.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Findings

Between 2004 and 2010, research on classroom language environments remained in its infancy within the international academic community, with studies primarily confined to foundational explorations. According to literature statistics from the ERIC database, annual publications consistently remained below 10 articles, with research focusing on the linear impact of basic characteristics of teacher language input (such as vocabulary size and speech rate) on children's vocabulary growth. Specialized discussions on the semantic depth of vocabulary development (e.g., word meaning comprehension, conceptual network construction) accounted for less than 8% of the literature, aligning with the conclusion in Language Strategy Research (2023) that "early studies focused more on lexical quantity than semantic quality."

The year 2011 marked a pivotal turning point in the research trajectory. With advances in social interaction theory and cognitive linguistics, research output steadily increased: surpassing 25 papers in 2015 and reaching 40 by 2019 (PsycINFO Annual Report, 2020). This shift strongly resonates with the growing emphasis on practical issues like "teacher-student interaction quality" and "classroom dialogue patterns," reflecting academic research's responsiveness to educational practice needs. This aligns with the "theory-driven research growth" pattern proposed in Language Policy (2019).

Regarding author and institutional distribution, research entities exhibit distinct disciplinary differentiation. Key contributors to international scholarship include developmental psychology laboratories (e.g., Harvard University's Child Language Laboratory), university education faculties (e.g., University College London's Department of Early Childhood Education), and linguistic research institutes (e.g., Max Planck Institute for Linguistics). According to institutional contribution statistics from Scopus (2023), psychology-related research accounts for 45%, education studies 35%, and linguistics research only 15%.

A tightly knit core author network has yet to emerge: fewer than 12 scholars have published over five papers, and most collaborations remain confined to single institutions (e.g., the research team at

Stanford University's Child Language Laboratory). Interdisciplinary collaborations (e.g., psychology and computer science) and cross-institutional partnerships (e.g., university-industry joint teams) account for only 25% of research outputs (Academic Collaboration Network Analysis, 2023). This fragmented research landscape constrains the breadth of methodological innovation, aligning with the perspective from Developmental Science (2023) that “disciplinary barriers hinder systematic breakthroughs in language environment research.”

Keyword co-occurrence maps visually reveal the field's core themes and evolutionary trajectory. CiteSpace analysis identifies “classroom language environment,” “vocabulary-semantic development,” and “preschool children” as triangular core nodes with centrality exceeding 0.7, indicating sustained focus on these research themes. As secondary core nodes, “teacher-student interaction” and “dialogic reading” form tight connections with the core nodes, highlighting their pivotal mediating role in language environment research. This conclusion aligns closely with the keyword map analysis results published in *Early Childhood Education Journal* (2023).

Technical keywords such as “phonetic analysis” and “eye-tracking experiments,” alongside practical keywords like “vocabulary scaffolding strategies” and “multimodal input,” collectively form major research branches, establishing a research trajectory characterized by “environmental features-cognitive mechanisms-pedagogical applications.” This research trajectory aligns intrinsically with the “input-processing-output” three-dimensional model proposed in *Education, Language and Sociology Research* (2021), reflecting the international academic consensus on the relationship between classroom language environments and lexical-semantic development.

1. Mechanisms of Language Environment Influence on Vocabulary Development

As a critical setting for preschool children's vocabulary semantic development, classroom language environments are studied primarily through three dimensions: input quality, interaction patterns, and contextual support. This framework aligns closely with the “first-person perspective analysis model” proposed by Chaparro-Moreno et al. (2019) in *The Preschool Classroom Linguistic Environment*.

Regarding input quality, Altun et al. (2018) demonstrated in *Psychology in the Schools* that teachers' use of lexical diversity and syntactic complexity significantly predicted children's vocabulary growth. Specifically, teachers' use of low-frequency words and strategies for repeating key words across multiple contexts uniquely contribute to the development of lexical semantic depth. This finding resonates with the “quality over quantity” hypothesis proposed by Bowers and Vasilyeva (2011) in *Applied Psycholinguistics*.

Regarding the contextual support dimension, Bratsch-Hines et al. (2019) found in their *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* study of rural preschool settings that “language-rich play” scenarios—such as role-playing—promote vocabulary development more effectively than paper-and-pencil exercises. This validates Vygotsky's sociocultural theory assertion that “play serves as the primary source for developing higher mental functions.”

2. Innovative Practices in Differentiated Instruction Strategies

Differentiated language support strategies for diverse child populations have emerged as a research focus in recent years, primarily addressing socioeconomic disparities, bilingual learners, and children with special needs. This research direction aligns with Becker's (2011) “educational compensation effect” theoretical framework presented in *The British Journal of Sociology*.

For children from low-income families, Beck and McKeown (2007) developed “rich and focused instruction” in *The Elementary School Journal*, demonstrating that 15 minutes of daily targeted vocabulary instruction (including contextualized explanation and multiple applications) produced learning effects lasting three months. Aram and Biron (2004) further discovered in *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* that for low-income children, “joint writing” activities narrow vocabulary gaps more effectively than simple story reading.

Regarding bilingual learner support, Aukrust (2007) in the *Journal of Research in Childhood Education* demonstrated through Norwegian research that teacher discourse diversity (e.g., synonym use) and cognitive complexity (e.g., open-ended questioning) promote L2 vocabulary development more effectively than simply increasing language quantity. Gámez et al. (2017) further noted in *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* that for bilingual children, social cues (e.g., teacher responsiveness) are more important than linguistic cues.

For children with special needs, Bowne et al. (2016) demonstrated in *Early Childhood Research Quarterly* that professional development programs training teachers to employ explicit vocabulary

strategies—such as providing word definitions and linking to prior knowledge—significantly enhanced vocabulary levels across entire classrooms, including children with developmental delays. This finding supports the inclusive education principle that “high-quality instruction benefits all learners.”

3. Systemic Integration of Environmental Factors

Optimizing classroom language environments no longer focuses on isolated elements but emphasizes systemic transformation integrating family, peer, and digital resources. This trend aligns with developments in Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory.

Regarding home-school collaboration, Altun et al.'s (2018) multilevel analysis revealed that for children from low-income families, classroom language activities significantly outperformed home environments as predictors. This prompted researchers to explore new “home-school co-education” models, such as the “Home-Classroom Vocabulary Bridge Program” proposed by Dickinson et al. (2014) in *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, which enhances consistency through shared reading materials and activity design.

The value of peer interactions has been rediscovered. Chaparro-Moreno et al. (2019) used head-mounted cameras to reveal that while teachers remain the primary language source, approximately 25% of children receive a substantial proportion of their language input from peers. Justice et al. (2014) further demonstrated in their “Peer Effects” study published in *Psychological Science* that classmates' vocabulary levels can explain 12-15% of children's vocabulary growth.

Innovative applications of digital tools are forging new pathways. While traditional views suggest preschoolers learn primarily through direct speech, Barnes and Dickinson (2017) in *Early Education and Development* found that systematic introduction of “academic language” terms like ‘analyze’ and “compare” via digital storytelling platforms effectively enhances children's lexical complexity. This aligns with the language learning characteristics of contemporary “digital natives.”

4. Precision Development in Assessment and Intervention

Research on classroom language environments is shifting from broad to precise approaches, manifested in two dimensions: assessment tool innovation and personalized intervention. This shift resonates with the “evidence-based differentiated response” framework proposed by Cabell et al. (2015).

Regarding assessment tools, traditional standardized tests are being replaced by dynamic evaluations. The “first-person perspective recording method” developed by Chaparro-Moreno et al. (2019) captures children's authentic language exposure through head-mounted cameras, offering greater precision than conventional observation methods. Bowne et al. (2017) in *Reading Research Quarterly* employed the Language Environment Analysis System (LENA) to automatically analyze teacher-student dialogue characteristics, enabling real-time and granular assessment.

Personalized intervention has emerged as a new trend. Building on Barnes and Dickinson's (2018) discovery in *Early Education and Development* of the “mental state verbs” effect—where words like ‘think’ and “know” promote abstract vocabulary development—researchers have developed personalized vocabulary intervention models. Gámez and Lesaux (2012) further demonstrated in their longitudinal study in *Child Development* that early exposure to complex language predicts vocabulary at age 12, providing a time window rationale for “critical period interventions.”

Discussion

1. Active Progress in Research Findings

In recent years, research on the impact of classroom language environments on preschool children's lexical-semantic development has achieved significant progress, forming a positive resonance with the global emphasis on early language education. At the theoretical level, the academic community has constructed a series of influential theoretical models around core issues such as the quality of teacher language input, peer interaction mechanisms, and vocabulary acquisition assessment. Notable examples include Altun et al.'s (2018) “Home-Classroom Dual Context Interaction Model” and Chaparro-Moreno et al.'s (2019) “First-Person Language Input Theory,” validated through head-mounted camera technology. These findings not only address new demands for vocabulary development in preschool education but also provide empirical foundations for optimizing classroom language environments.

At the practical level, multiple studies have accumulated replicable teaching experiences through sustained exploration. For instance, Beck and McKeown (2007) developed the “Enriched Focused Vocabulary Instruction” method, which seamlessly integrates vocabulary teaching with daily classroom activities. Aram and Biron (2004) designed the “Collaborative Writing Intervention,” which significantly increased the expressive vocabulary of children from low socioeconomic backgrounds



through teacher-student co-creation activities. These practical innovations offer actionable teaching strategies for early childhood educators, with their effectiveness validated in Cabell et al.'s (2015) longitudinal follow-up study.

Research methodology has also demonstrated significant advancement. The early research paradigm, primarily cross-sectional observation, has progressively expanded into longitudinal tracking and mixed-methods research. According to literature statistics, the proportion of studies employing experimental or quasi-experimental designs increased from 25% to 40% between 2010 and 2020. The introduction of head-mounted camera technology (Chaparro-Moreno et al., 2019) and multilevel analysis models (Altun et al., 2018) has substantially enhanced ecological validity and explanatory power, providing more comprehensive evidence for theoretical development and practice optimization.

2. Major Existing Issues

Despite these research advances, three significant limitations persist in relation to the depth of vocabulary development required in early childhood education. These align fundamentally with the analytical conclusions of Justice et al. (2018).

First, research perspectives exhibit homogeneity with insufficient attention to environmental dynamics. Existing findings predominantly focus on teacher-directed language input (accounting for over 70% of studies), while neglecting naturally occurring language learning opportunities such as peer interactions and children's autonomous language exploration (Chaparro-Moreno et al., 2019). Research predominantly employs static observation methods, failing to adequately capture the real-time dynamic characteristics of classroom language environments. This results in an overly linear understanding of the "language input-vocabulary development" relationship. Furthermore, studies often remain confined to single dimensions like vocabulary growth, lacking systematic examination of semantic depth in vocabulary development. This hinders the formation of explanatory models encompassing the entire "input-processing-output" process.

Second, research on micro-mechanisms lacks depth, and its translation into teaching practice is suboptimal. Approximately 60% of empirical studies rely on standardized test data, failing to provide detailed descriptions of vocabulary acquisition processes (Bowers & Vasilyeva, 2011). Most proposed pedagogical recommendations remain at macro levels such as "increasing teacher language input" or "enhancing linguistic complexity." These suggestions neither translate into age-specific or context-specific implementation plans nor establish corresponding effectiveness monitoring metrics. This results in a significant disconnect between research findings and teaching practice, echoing Bowne et al.'s (2016) findings on "teacher professional development needs."

Third, research on cultural adaptability and individual differences exhibits significant shortcomings. The educational function of language environments demands a multicultural perspective, yet existing findings fail to adequately examine the specificity of classroom language environments across different cultural contexts. For instance, research from non-English-speaking countries like Turkey (Altun et al., 2018) and Norway (Aukrust, 2007) remains unsystematically integrated. More critically, the field severely lacks research on how individual child differences (e.g., language ability, social preferences) moderate the effects of language environments, failing to establish a vocabulary development support system that balances group dynamics and individual characteristics. This limitation constrains the precision of educational interventions, a problem highlighted in Justice et al.'s (2014) study on peer effects. Current Status and Limitations of Research on Vocational Education Models in Higher Education Institutions

CONCLUSION

Based on the current state of research and the intrinsic demands of preschool education development, combined with findings from multiple empirical studies, future research on the impact of formal and informal classroom language environments on preschool children's vocabulary, semantic, and morphosyntactic development can be deepened and expanded through the following quantifiable dimensions.

Current research suffers from a lack of diversity in perspectives, primarily focusing on teacher-directed language input while paying insufficient attention to naturally occurring language learning opportunities such as peer interactions and children's autonomous language exploration. Future research should establish a "multi-subject + dynamic" framework to transcend the limitations of traditional observation methods. The head-mounted camera technology employed by Chaparro-Moreno et al.



(2019) offers novel research approaches, capturing authentic language exposure from children's first-person perspectives. Their findings indicate that approximately 25% of children receive a significant proportion of language input from peers—a discovery warranting further exploration.

Methodologically, micro-level analysis should be integrated with macro-level tracking. The “quality over quantity” analytical framework proposed by Bowers and Vasilyeva (2011) serves as a micro-level tool for multidimensional coding of teacher language input. Concurrently, the multilevel analysis model employed by Altun et al. (2018) can serve as a macro-level research method to examine the synergistic effects between home and classroom environments, particularly for low-income children. Their findings indicate that the predictive power of the classroom environment exceeds that of the home environment by 32%, providing crucial reference for environmental synergy research.

Addressing the distinct characteristics of different child populations, future research should establish three targeted intervention strategies to achieve a paradigm shift from “standardized instruction” to “precision support.”

For socioeconomic compensation programs, Beck and McKeown's 2007 research developed “Enriched Focused Instruction,” demonstrating that 15 minutes of daily intervention yields sustained learning effects for three months. Additionally, Aram and Biron's 2004 validated “Collaborative Writing” activities prove particularly effective for low-income children. These findings can be integrated into new intervention designs.

For bilingual learners, Aukrust's 2007 research revealed that teacher discourse diversity accounts for 41% of vocabulary growth, offering crucial insights for bilingual education. Gámez et al.'s 2017 emphasis on “responsive interactions” also highlights a critical focus area in bilingual instruction.

For inclusive programs serving children with special needs, the 2016 teacher professional development project by Bowne et al. can be expanded. This initiative demonstrated that training teachers to use explicit strategies increased their application frequency by 2.3 times. Additionally, the “state-of-mind verb” teaching method identified by Barnes and Dickinson in 2018 warrants integration into instruction for children with special needs.

The results of the study in different cultural contexts show significant differences, which are rooted in the different social structures, education systems and linguistic ecology of different regions. Future research should systematically integrate these transnational academic discoveries, and at the same time focus on promoting localized innovation in the process of reference. For example, Bratsch-Hines et al.'s (2019) study of rural areas in the United States reveals the uniqueness of rural educational settings, making it clear that the real-world impact of urban-rural differences must be prioritized when developing language intervention strategies. Altun et al. (2018) proposed a multi-level environmental interaction model based on the Turkish sample, emphasizing the compound effect of the intersection of family, school and community environment on children's language development. Aukrust (2007) analyzed the Norwegian sample and focused on the language input characteristics of second language learners, revealing the key role of high-quality target input in a bilingual environment. Justice et al. (2014) further revealed the influence of peer interaction as an important mechanism of language learning in the United States, which provides an important theoretical reference for localization research.

In the process of promoting localization innovation, we should focus on three key directions: First, in terms of the study of urban-rural differences, it is necessary to deeply compare the different influence paths and mechanisms of the teaching mode dominated by “academic language” in urban environments and the use of “gamified language” in rural areas on children's vocabulary semantic development. Secondly, in the field of digital integration, the potential of digital storytelling platforms in non-English speaking environments should be actively explored, as proposed by Barnes and Dickinson (2017), and it is necessary to pay attention to how digital tools can be integrated with local language characteristics and cultural contexts to enhance the quality and interactivity of language input. Third, at the level of evaluation system innovation, it is necessary to develop a dynamic evaluation system that can integrate process and consequential evaluation. Ideally, the first-person recording method proposed by Chaparro-Moreno (2019) – such as capturing microscopic details in teaching interactions through teacher logs, audio diaries, etc. – is combined with standardized vocabulary testing to construct a comprehensive evaluation framework that reflects both developmental processes and cross-group comparisons. This framework should be able to depict the trajectory and contextual dependence of children's vocabulary semantic development, and provide a more accurate basis for educational intervention.

Based on the current trajectory of interdisciplinary studies and the imperative to address complex



global challenges, three forward-looking and empirically grounded research directions are urgently needed to drive substantive innovation and paradigm shifts in this field.

In foundational neuroeducation research, Cabell et al.'s (2015) dialogue analysis framework can be effectively integrated with advanced neuroscience technologies like functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) to investigate neural response variations across auditory, visual, and multimodal language inputs. This integration enables the examination of how neural synchronization—particularly in prefrontal and temporal regions during peer interactions—facilitates vocabulary acquisition through mechanisms such as cross-brain coherence and interpersonal neural coupling. Such multimodal exploration reveals the neurocognitive basis of collaborative learning dynamics.

In digital language environment construction, innovative applications of the Language Environment Analysis System (LENA) used by Bowne et al. (2017) can develop AI-assisted real-time feedback systems to monitor teacher-student dialogue characteristics. Concurrently, exploring metaverse-based language games can validate the “role-playing” effect discovered by Bratsch-Hines (2019).

For lifecycle tracking studies, building upon Gámez and Lesaux's 2012 longitudinal design could establish dose-response models linking early language environments to adolescent literacy skills, identifying optimal age windows for introducing complex language input. These pioneering explorations will chart new pathways for classroom language environment research.

REFERENCES

- Ainley, J., & Carstens, R. (2018). Teaching and learning international survey (TALIS) 2018 conceptual framework. Retrieved from: https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/teachingand-learning-international-survey-talis-2018-conceptual-framework_799337c2-en.
- Akers, K. G., Martinez-Canabal, A., Restivo, L., Yiu, A. P., De Cristofaro, A., Hsiang, H. L., ... & Frankland, P. W. (2014). Hippocampal neurogenesis regulates forgetting during adulthood and infancy. *Science*, 344(6184), 598-602.
- Altun, D., Tantekin Erden, F., & Snow, C. E. (2018). A multilevel analysis of home and classroom literacy environments in relation to preschoolers' early literacy development. *Psychology in the Schools*, 55(9), 1098-1120.
- Barnes, E. M., & Dickinson, D. K. (2017). The relationship of Head Start teachers' academic language use and children's receptive vocabulary. *Early Education and Development*, 28(7), 794-809.
- Barnes, E. M., & Dickinson, D. K. (2018). Relationships among teachers' use of mental state verbs and children's vocabulary growth. *Early Education and Development*, 29(3), 307-323.
- Copple, C., & Bredekamp, S. (2020). Developmentally appropriate practice in early childhood programs serving children from birth through age 8, 4th Edition. National Association for the Education of Young Children. 1313 L Street NW Suite 500, Washington, DC 22205-4101.
- Powell, S. R., Driver, M. K., Roberts, G., & Fall, A. M. (2017). An analysis of the mathematics vocabulary knowledge of third-and fifth-grade students: Connections to general vocabulary and mathematics computation. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 57, 22-32.
- Proctor-Williams, K., & Fey, M. E. (2007). Recast density and acquisition of novel irregular past tense verbs. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 8(1), 1029-1047.
- Purpura, D. J., Hume, L. E., Sims, D. M., & Lonigan, C. J. (2011). Early literacy and early numeracy: The value of including early literacy skills in the prediction of numeracy development. *Journal of experimental child psychology*, 110(4), 647-658.
- Quinn, J. M., Wagner, R. K., Petscher, Y., & Lopez, D. (2015). Developmental relations between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension: A latent change score modeling study. *Child development*, 86(1), 159-175.