Curriculum Innovation in Environmental Design under the Influence of Artificial Intelligence

Shuai Yi, Ni Nyoman Padmadewi, Gede Rasben Dantes, I Pute Indra Kusuma

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Bali, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

With the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI), the design industry is experiencing profound transformation. Traditional design concepts and methods face challenges, requiring designers not only to demonstrate creativity and aesthetic judgment but also to master data analysis, algorithm design, and machine learning. In this context, curriculum reform in environmental design education has become essential. However, many Chinese universities still lack AI-related content, resulting in graduates with skill gaps and reduced employability. In contrast, leading institutions worldwide, such as Stanford University and Central Saint Martins, have successfully integrated AI into design education, offering courses and interdisciplinary projects that enhance students' technical abilities, creativity, and adaptability. AI applications in real-world practices, such as MVRDV's data-driven urban planning, further illustrate the value of AI in fostering innovative and evidence-based design. Incorporating AI into curricula not only improves teaching quality but also promotes interdisciplinary integration, equipping students with stronger problem-solving, teamwork, and practical skills. This reform meets the growing demand for versatile design professionals who can respond flexibly to technological and market changes. In conclusion, embedding AI into environmental design curricula is both a necessary response to industry transformation and an effective strategy for cultivating innovative, high-quality talent capable of thriving in an intelligent and digital era.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Environmental Design Education; Curriculum Reform

INTRODUCTION

With the rapid popularization and promotion of smart devices, the number of mobile Internet users has surpassed that of traditional Internet users, fundamentally reshaping the way information is accessed, shared, and utilized. Mobile Internet leverages mobile communication technologies to transmit data and establish a dynamic virtual environment that connects users, devices, and services in real time. Furthermore, mobile Internet applications often integrate with other industries through application modules, expanding their influence across diverse sectors such as healthcare, communication, transportation, education, and commerce (Wu Zhihong & Liu Xueping, 2020). In this era dominated by information and data, the capacity to process, analyze, and extract meaningful insights from large, complex, and scattered datasets has become a central competency. The core challenge lies in intelligent information extraction, which enables data-driven decision-making and supports innovative problem-solving approaches, ultimately fostering a more efficient and responsive design practice.

Environmental Art Design, as an interdisciplinary field integrating spatial planning, visual aesthetics, functional design, and human-centered considerations, is particularly influenced by these technological advancements. Contemporary design projects increasingly rely on data analysis, simulation-based modeling, and intelligent decision-support systems to optimize outcomes, enhance efficiency, and anticipate user needs. Traditional pedagogical approaches, however, often fail to adequately address these competencies, leaving graduates with gaps in practical and technical skills. In many Chinese universities, Environmental Design curricula still lack systematic AI-related content, resulting in students who are underprepared to engage with technologically sophisticated design processes. This discrepancy underscores the urgency for curriculum reform, offering higher education institutions an opportunity to explore structured integration of AI technologies within their teaching

strategies.

Internationally, leading institutions have begun to embrace AI in design education. For instance, Stanford University and Central Saint Martins have incorporated AI technologies through interdisciplinary courses, workshops, and project-based learning methodologies. These approaches enable students to enhance both their technical abilities and creative thinking, while fostering collaboration across diverse knowledge domains. Furthermore, real-world projects illustrate the practical value of AI in design practice. MVRDV's data-driven urban planning projects, for example, utilize AI to optimize design decision-making, model urban dynamics, and facilitate innovative design methodologies, demonstrating that AI functions not only as a technical tool but also as a catalyst for creativity, problem-solving, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Additional examples include AI-assisted interior and landscape design projects, where machine learning models analyze user behavior and environmental conditions to support evidence-based design decisions, highlighting the transformative potential of AI across multiple scales of design practice.

Given these developments, this study aims to systematically explore the application and integration of AI technologies within Environmental Art Design education. It examines how curriculum reform can enhance teaching quality, strengthen students' practical and interdisciplinary skills, and draw lessons from international best practices to inform educational strategies in China. By investigating both pedagogical innovation and the demand for versatile design professionals, this research addresses the dual challenge of fostering creativity and technical competence. Moreover, the study emphasizes the importance of cultivating ethical awareness and critical thinking in students, preparing them not only to utilize AI effectively but also to consider its social, cultural, and environmental implications. Through bibliometric analysis, comparative study, and empirical research, this study seeks to provide both theoretical guidance and practical recommendations for integrating AI into Environmental Art Design curricula, ultimately preparing a new generation of designers capable of thriving in an increasingly intelligent, digital, and data-driven professional landscape, while contributing responsibly to sustainable and human-centered design solutions.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Landscape architecture education has increasingly gained recognition as a vital discipline in addressing environmental challenges and promoting sustainable development. Current programs often emphasize multidisciplinary approaches, integrating ecological principles, design methodologies, and technological advancements, and many have adopted innovative teaching methods such as project-based learning, collaborative studio models, and digital design tools (Smith, 2020; Johnson & Lee, 2021). However, despite these advancements, significant gaps remain in the systematic evaluation of program quality, as traditional assessment methods frequently rely on isolated metrics—such as graduation rates or student satisfaction—without capturing the broader factors that shape educational effectiveness (Brown, 2019). Research further suggests that the absence of structured frameworks for continuous improvement can lead to discrepancies in curriculum relevance, teaching quality, and student outcomes (Garcia, 2020). In response to these challenges, artificial intelligence (AI) in Environmental Landscape Design education can be conceptualized within a theoretical framework that integrates perspectives from educational research, technology adoption, and curriculum innovation. In this study, AI refers to computational systems and algorithms that assist or enhance the design process, including generative design, parametric modeling, predictive analytics, and data-driven simulations. AI encompasses not only technical tools, but also intelligent applications that support decision-making, foster creativity, and enable evidence-based design practices, offering new opportunities to strengthen pedagogical effectiveness and reshape design thinking in Environmental Landscape Design education.

Technology-Enhanced Learning (TEL) is a central concept within this study's framework, defined as the use of digital and intelligent technologies to facilitate knowledge acquisition, skill development, and learner engagement (Luo, 2025; Giannakos, 2025). TEL emphasizes adaptive, personalized, and interactive learning experiences, which are particularly relevant in design education because AI tools enable complex scenario simulations and iterative design exercises (Oh, 2024). These capabilities support students in integrating computational thinking with creative design processes in practice, thereby enhancing their overall competence (Luo, 2025).

The integration of Technology-Enhanced Learning (TEL) and design thinking is closely interrelated in AI-augmented design education. While TEL provides the overarching pedagogical framework that

leverages digital and intelligent technologies to enhance knowledge acquisition, skill development, and learner engagement, design thinking represents the methodological approach through which students apply these enhanced capabilities to creative problem-solving. AI acts as the critical link between TEL and design thinking by translating theoretical learning support into practical design applications. For instance, AI tools embedded within a TEL environment can offer real-time feedback, scenario simulations, and data-driven insights, which directly support the iterative and reflective processes emphasized in design thinking. In this way, TEL establishes the structural and technological foundation, while design thinking defines the human-centered, creative processes that students engage in, creating a cohesive and mutually reinforcing educational ecosystem.

Design thinking represents another foundational concept in design education, embodying a human-centered, iterative approach to problem-solving that emphasizes creativity, experimentation, and reflective practice (Sreenivasan, 2024). Within AI-augmented design education, artificial intelligence (AI) technologies can significantly expand the scope and application of design thinking. For instance, AI can generate multiple alternative design solutions, optimize decision-making based on complex datasets, and enhance predictive and simulation capabilities, all while maintaining attention to aesthetic considerations and functional requirements (Rana, 2025). By enabling students to explore and evaluate a variety of design options, AI not only supports iterative experimentation during the creative process but also enhances their ability to make informed and innovative decisions in complex design scenarios. This integration allows learners to engage in more sophisticated problem-solving practices, encouraging both analytical and creative thinking skills simultaneously.

Interdisciplinary education theory further underscores the importance of integrating knowledge and methodologies from multiple domains to address complex, real-world challenges. Environmental landscape design, as an inherently multidisciplinary field, draws upon architecture, urban planning, landscape architecture, interior design, and related disciplines. In this context, AI technologies facilitate interdisciplinary collaboration by enabling shared data analysis, simulation-based modeling, and advanced visualization. These tools allow students to effectively integrate knowledge and methods from diverse professional domains, promoting systems-level thinking and improving adaptability in complex design projects (Huangfu, Kotchasit, & Klangphahol, 2025). By working within AI-supported interdisciplinary frameworks, students develop a stronger capacity for synthesizing diverse perspectives, evaluating competing functional and aesthetic demands, and generating holistic solutions that are both innovative and contextually appropriate.

Furthermore, AI applications in design education include intelligent assistance systems, generative design software, and simulation platforms, all of which provide real-time feedback to students. These capabilities enable learners to identify potential problems at early stages of the design process and iteratively refine their solutions, fostering a hands-on, practice-oriented learning environment. The combination of AI tools with interdisciplinary approaches enhances student engagement, promotes collaborative learning, and supports the development of high-quality design competencies. Moreover, the integration of AI into educational practice ensures that students acquire not only technical proficiency but also the ability to balance creativity, functionality, and sustainability in their designs. By engaging with AI-supported tools and methods, students are better prepared to navigate the demands of a rapidly evolving, intelligent, and digitally mediated design environment, where adaptability, innovation, and critical thinking are increasingly essential for professional success.In summary, AI serves as a transformative force in design education, extending the boundaries of traditional design thinking and promoting interdisciplinary collaboration. It equips students with the analytical skills, technical competence, and creative capabilities necessary to address complex design challenges effectively. By fostering iterative exploration, data-informed decision-making, and real-time problem-solving, AI-enhanced design education empowers students to develop solutions that are aesthetically refined, functionally robust, and environmentally and socially responsible, preparing them to thrive in a future characterized by intelligent, digital, and collaborative design practices.

In contrast, domestic research in China indicates that the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into Environmental Landscape Design curricula remains relatively limited. Many programs lack systematically structured AI content, which results in graduates exhibiting significant gaps in computational skills, data-driven design capabilities, and interdisciplinary collaboration competencies (Xing, Gan, Chen, & Yu, 2025). Although some universities have attempted to incorporate AI tools, offer elective courses, or conduct short-term workshops, comprehensive curriculum reform remains

uncommon, and empirical evaluation of such innovative practices is often insufficient (Liang, 2024). Methodologically, prior studies have employed a variety of approaches, including literature reviews, comparative analyses, surveys, and action research. Bibliometric studies have mapped the evolution and growth of AI applications in design education, surveys and interviews have captured the perceptions and attitudes of faculty and students toward AI effectiveness, and classroom-based action research has demonstrated iterative improvements in teaching strategies through AI-supported interventions. Nevertheless, these studies are generally limited in scale and scope, reducing their generalizability and leaving a significant gap in aligning international best practices with the domestic educational context, particularly in the area of systematic curriculum innovation (Tuya, 2025).

Curriculum innovation has emerged as a critical strategy to address these challenges. Innovative curricula involve not only the introduction of AI technologies but also the systematic reform of course structures, instructional content, learning modalities, and assessment methods. For instance, embedding AI tools within project-based learning, interdisciplinary modules, or design competitions enables students to apply knowledge in authentic contexts, thereby enhancing practical skills, problem-solving abilities, and creative thinking (Xing et al., 2025). Curriculum innovation also emphasizes the personalization and interactivity of the learning experience, offering flexible module design and elective courses that allow students to explore AI applications in design according to their individual interests and career trajectories (Liang, 2024). Additionally, curriculum innovation incorporates continuous feedback and iterative refinement mechanisms, integrating student performance data, faculty teaching experiences, and industry needs to create a dynamic and adaptive course system (Tuya, 2025). Such practices help narrow the skill gaps among students, improve overall teaching quality, and enhance graduates' employability and adaptability in the professional landscape.

Looking forward, future curriculum innovation plans should be further systematized and operationalized to maximize effectiveness. First, comprehensive AI core modules should be developed, covering areas such as data analysis, generative design, intelligent modeling, and visualization tools, and these should be introduced progressively across different academic levels to ensure students build a robust foundation in technical competencies (Xing et al., 2025). Second, interdisciplinary course design should be promoted, combining knowledge from architecture, urban planning, landscape architecture, and interior design, through integrated workshops and collaborative team projects that allow students to engage in real-world, cross-disciplinary problem-solving (Liang, 2024). Third, project-driven and problem-based learning (PBL) approaches should be widely implemented, incorporating real-world projects in collaboration with industry, government, or community stakeholders to tightly integrate theoretical knowledge with practical application, fostering both innovative thinking and professional skills (Tuya, 2025). Fourth, dynamic assessment and feedback mechanisms should be established, leveraging learning analytics platforms and AI-assisted evaluation tools to monitor students' progress and design outcomes in real time, providing data-informed insights to guide instructional adjustments (Xing et al., 2025). Fifth, ongoing professional development and collaboration with industry experts should be encouraged to ensure that curricula remain current with technological advancements and evolving professional demands. Finally, international benchmarking and comparative analysis should be incorporated, drawing on successful practices from leading institutions abroad while adapting them to the local context to achieve systematic, sustainable curriculum reform (Liang, 2024).

Despite the growing recognition of AI's transformative potential in education, several research gaps remain. First, domestic studies rarely examine AI integration and curriculum innovation at the holistic program level or link theoretical frameworks with measurable learning outcomes (Xing et al., 2025). Second, cross-national comparative studies of AI adoption strategies in Environmental Landscape Design education are limited, reducing understanding of international best practices that could inform local curriculum reform (Tuya, 2025). Third, there is insufficient research combining empirical evidence from stakeholder perspectives with actionable curriculum innovation strategies, particularly regarding the integration of technology-enhanced learning, design thinking, interdisciplinary collaboration, and AI-supported pedagogy (Liang, 2024).

This study positions itself to address these gaps by constructing a theoretical framework that integrates AI applications, educational theory, cross-cultural comparisons, and evidence-based curriculum innovation practices. By combining insights from technology-enhanced learning, design thinking, interdisciplinary education, and stakeholder feedback, the study provides a comprehensive lens for examining the impact of AI on course design, teaching strategies, student learning outcomes, and

graduate competencies. This framework not only facilitates a systematic exploration of AI's multi-dimensional influence but also offers practical guidance for curriculum reform and innovation, aiming to cultivate graduates in Environmental Landscape Design who are innovative, adaptable, technically proficient, and professionally competent, capable of thriving in increasingly intelligent, digital, and competitive design environments.

METHOD

This study employs an integrated methodological approach, combining bibliometric analysis and comparative research, to systematically investigate the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on Environmental Landscape Design education. The integration of these two approaches enables a comprehensive exploration of both theoretical trends and practical applications, providing a multi-dimensional understanding that strengthens the reliability and applicability of the findings.

Initially, a bibliometric analysis was conducted to establish a robust theoretical and empirical foundation. Relevant literature was systematically retrieved from leading academic databases, including CNKI, Google Scholar, ACM Digital Library, and Mendeley, using a combination of keywords such as "artificial intelligence," "educational reform," "technology-enhanced learning," "environmental landscape design," and "curriculum innovation." This comprehensive collection ensured coverage of both domestic and international research, allowing the study to capture current developments, applications, and conceptual frameworks in AI-supported design education. For each selected study, detailed information regarding research objectives, methodology, participant characteristics, key findings, and conclusions was meticulously extracted. Bibliometric visualization techniques, including co-citation analysis, keyword co-occurrence mapping, and trend analysis, were employed to identify the intellectual structure of the field, highlight influential publications, and trace the evolution of research over time. This rigorous quantitative analysis not only provided theoretical support but also established a data-driven foundation for subsequent comparative research, ensuring that the study's conclusions are grounded in robust empirical evidence.

Subsequently, a comparative research design was implemented to examine AI integration strategies and outcomes in Environmental Landscape Design education across diverse national and institutional contexts. This involved systematic analysis of domestic and international case studies, focusing on curriculum structures, pedagogical approaches, learning outcomes, and institutional strategies for AI adoption. The comparative study considered multiple factors, including technological resources, faculty expertise, interdisciplinary collaboration mechanisms, and assessment methodologies. Through this approach, best practices, common challenges, and contextual limitations associated with AI adoption were identified. For example, leading international institutions such as Stanford University, Central Saint Martins, and projects by MVRDV were examined to demonstrate how generative design algorithms, data-driven simulations, and AI-assisted design tools can enhance students' creativity, problem-solving abilities, and technical competence. In contrast, domestic cases highlighted fragmented curricula, limited hands-on opportunities, and gaps in faculty training, revealing the need for systematic curriculum innovation. This comparative approach provides actionable insights for integrating international best practices within the domestic educational context, particularly in the realm of curriculum development and pedagogical reform.

The selection of these methods was guided by two primary considerations. First, research continuity and temporal relevance: bibliometric analysis provides longitudinal insights into the development of AI technologies and their evolving impact on design education, whereas comparative research offers a cross-sectional perspective, capturing differences in curricular design, instructional practices, and institutional strategies across regions and countries. Second, alignment with technological and pedagogical tools: while conventional methods, such as surveys, interviews, and observational studies, yield valuable qualitative insights into classroom dynamics and stakeholder perceptions, modern approaches directly integrate AI applications within educational contexts. Examples include AI-assisted simulations, virtual design environments, and automated evaluation tools, which were analyzed to assess their effectiveness in enhancing learning outcomes, creativity, and problem-solving skills.

Moreover, the study integrates quantitative and qualitative analyses to ensure a holistic understanding of AI's influence. Bibliometric indicators such as publication trends, citation metrics, and keyword frequencies provide measurable evidence of research activity and scholarly impact.

Comparative analyses contextualize these patterns within real-world educational practices, emphasizing implications for curriculum design, faculty development, and student engagement. The combination of these approaches facilitates a comprehensive evaluation of AI's multidimensional impact, spanning both theoretical insights and practical applications.

Finally, this methodological framework lays the foundation for future research and practical implementation. By identifying existing gaps in AI integration, documenting successful international practices, and analyzing the conditions under which AI-enhanced education thrives, the study provides guidance for educators, curriculum designers, and policymakers. Insights derived from bibliometric and comparative analyses inform evidence-based curriculum innovation, support professional development for faculty, and guide the design of AI-assisted learning environments that foster creativity, technical proficiency, and interdisciplinary collaboration. In summary, the integration of bibliometric analysis and comparative research provides a rigorous, systematic, and evidence-based approach for investigating the role of AI in Environmental Landscape Design education, ensuring that findings are academically robust and practically relevant.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in Environmental Landscape Design education has emerged as a transformative force, reshaping traditional pedagogical approaches and creating opportunities for curriculum innovation. Over the past decade, AI applications in design education have grown exponentially, encompassing generative design, parametric modeling, data-driven urban planning, environmental simulation, and Building Information Modeling (BIM). These technologies not only enhance technical capabilities but also introduce new cognitive demands, requiring students to balance creativity, analytical thinking, and data-informed decision-making. Leading international institutions, such as Stanford University, Central Saint Martins, and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, have effectively incorporated AI into structured curricula, interdisciplinary projects, and research-oriented studios. In these programs, students employ AI-driven design tools to generate multiple alternatives, optimize solutions based on complex datasets, and simulate environmental and structural outcomes. By integrating AI technologies in this manner, educators facilitate the development of students' technical proficiency, creative problem-solving, and adaptability in increasingly complex design environments (Chen, 2024; Li & Liqing, 2024).

Despite these advancements abroad, domestic Environmental Landscape Design education in China has been slower to adopt AI comprehensively. Many programs offer AI-related content only as electives or short-term workshops, resulting in graduates with insufficient computational, data-driven, and generative design skills. The lack of systematic curriculum integration leads to fragmented learning experiences, limiting students' ability to engage in technologically advanced and interdisciplinary projects. Research indicates that effective AI integration is closely linked to hands-on, project-based learning, interdisciplinary collaboration, and continuous formative assessment, as these approaches promote engagement, critical thinking, and practical skill development more effectively than theory-focused instruction alone. Furthermore, challenges such as variability in faculty expertise, misalignment between AI tools and learning objectives, and uneven access to technical resources can hinder successful implementation (Ahmet & Mehmet, 2024; Fatih & Laurențiu, 2025).

Curriculum innovation in the AI era requires more than the simple introduction of new tools; it necessitates a rethinking of educational objectives, learning pathways, and assessment mechanisms. One critical aspect is the systematic design of the curriculum. Foundational courses should include AI modeling principles, computational thinking, and data analysis methods, ensuring students acquire the technical knowledge necessary for advanced applications. Specialized courses may incorporate generative design, parametric optimization, predictive modeling, and environmental simulation modules, while integrative courses could center on interdisciplinary projects where students apply AI tools to real-world scenarios, such as urban landscape planning, ecological design, or sustainable infrastructure projects. This structure allows students to progress from foundational understanding to applied expertise, culminating in the ability to navigate complex, data-intensive design challenges.

Project-based learning (PBL) is a cornerstone of AI-driven curriculum innovation. In practice, students can engage in projects that simulate urban microclimates, analyze ecological impact under multiple design scenarios, optimize public space utilization, or implement AI-generated design alternatives for landscape nodes or architectural facades. Real-time feedback from AI tools provides

actionable insights during the design process, enabling iterative refinement and enhancing both decision-making and creative exploration. For example, students might use generative design algorithms to test hundreds of alternative landscape layouts and assess them based on environmental, aesthetic, and functional criteria, receiving immediate guidance from AI analytics to refine their proposals. These projects not only build technical proficiency but also cultivate systems thinking, critical evaluation, and adaptability in response to complex, multifactorial problems.Cross-disciplinary collaboration is another essential component of AI-integrated curriculum innovation. Environmental design inherently spans architecture, landscape architecture, urban planning, interior design, and environmental engineering. AI platforms facilitate collaboration by aggregating diverse datasets, providing simulation capabilities, and generating visualizations that are accessible to all team members. For instance, architects might contribute structural analyses, landscape designers provide ecological simulations, and environmental engineers supply water or energy efficiency data, all of which are processed and visualized using AI tools. This collaborative process trains students to synthesize knowledge across disciplines, develop holistic solutions, and improve communication and project management skills—competencies increasingly demanded in professional practice.

AI also enables personalized and adaptive learning experiences. Intelligent assessment systems can monitor student performance in generative design exercises, offering tailored guidance, additional practice, or alternative challenges based on individual needs. AI-driven platforms can track students' design decisions, iterative processes, and analytical outputs, creating detailed learning portfolios that allow educators to assess not only outcomes but also the quality of cognitive and procedural skills. This dynamic, data-informed feedback loop transforms assessment from a summative evaluation of final products to a continuous, formative process that enhances creativity, problem-solving ability, and technical competence. Another practical dimension of AI integration involves curriculum-wide innovation strategies that align technological adoption with educational objectives. Institutions can establish dedicated AI labs or design studios equipped with advanced simulation software, parametric modeling tools, and cloud-based computing resources. These facilities support collaborative research projects, experimentation with novel design algorithms, and integration of real-world data into student projects. Faculty development programs are equally critical, equipping instructors with the necessary technical skills and pedagogical strategies to guide AI-enhanced learning effectively. Workshops, seminars, and cross-institutional collaborations provide avenues for professional growth, enabling faculty to stay abreast of emerging technologies and best practices in AI-driven design education.

Despite the significant potential of AI, challenges persist, including resource allocation disparities, variation in faculty expertise, alignment of AI tools with learning outcomes, and the need for robust institutional support (Ahmet & Mehmet, 2024; Fatih & Laurenţiu, 2025). Addressing these challenges requires coordinated efforts at multiple levels: strategic planning at the curriculum design stage, investment in infrastructure and software, continuous faculty training, and systematic evaluation of AI-enhanced teaching effectiveness. Furthermore, curriculum innovation should be informed by both domestic and international best practices, integrating evidence-based strategies for pedagogy, assessment, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Ultimately, AI offers profound opportunities to reshape Environmental Landscape Design education. By supporting iterative, data-driven design experiences, enhancing interdisciplinary understanding, and cultivating both technical and creative competencies, AI provides students with the skills necessary for modern professional practice. Strategic, curriculum-wide implementation of AI, supported by faculty development and resource allocation, can bridge existing skill gaps, improve employability, and prepare graduates to thrive in intelligent, digitally mediated design environments. This approach establishes a comprehensive framework for curriculum innovation, combining technology-enhanced learning, design thinking, and interdisciplinary education, and serves as a model for evidence-based pedagogical reform. By leveraging AI thoughtfully, educators can cultivate a new generation of designers capable of creative, technically informed, and socially responsive solutions, ensuring that Environmental Landscape Design education remains relevant and forward-looking in the era of artificial intelligence.

CONCLUSION

This study adopts a combination of bibliometric analysis and comparative research to comprehensively investigate the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within Environmental Art

Design education, confirming that AI functions not merely as a supplementary tool but as a crucial driver of pedagogical innovation and professional competency enhancement. The findings reveal a pronounced contrast between international and domestic practices: leading global institutions have systematically embedded AI—particularly generative design and parametric modeling—into interdisciplinary curricula to enhance students' creativity, technical adaptability, and problem-solving capabilities. For instance, universities such as Stanford University and Central Saint Martins have developed interdisciplinary workshops and research-oriented courses where students employ AI technologies to generate multiple design alternatives, optimize design decisions through parametric modeling, and conduct environmental simulations, thereby improving both the scientific rigor and practical feasibility of their design projects. These approaches equip students not only with advanced technical skills but also with the ability to think critically, innovate, and respond effectively to complex, real-world design challenges.

In contrast, many Chinese universities still rely on limited elective courses or sporadic workshops to introduce AI, resulting in fragmented learning experiences and significant gaps in students' competencies. These gaps include insufficient skills in data-driven design, environmental simulation, and generative modeling, which are increasingly essential for professional practice in contemporary design industries. Furthermore, limitations in faculty expertise, access to advanced software and hardware resources, and the absence of structured AI teaching frameworks exacerbate these issues, reducing the effectiveness of AI integration and limiting graduates' readiness for technologically sophisticated design tasks. Without a coherent, curriculum-wide approach, students may be exposed to AI tools without a clear understanding of their application in interdisciplinary projects or their role in enhancing design decision-making.

To address these challenges, strategic, curriculum-wide reform is essential. Integrating AI across all stages of the learning pathway—from foundational courses to specialized professional modules and cross-disciplinary projects—can create a cohesive educational experience that connects theoretical knowledge, technical skills, and applied creativity. Foundational courses should focus on computational thinking, data analysis, AI modeling principles, and visualization techniques to equip students with the necessary groundwork for advanced applications. Specialized modules can then introduce generative design, parametric optimization, predictive modeling, and intelligent design platforms, allowing students to apply AI tools in complex design projects. Comprehensive, interdisciplinary projects should synthesize knowledge from architecture, landscape design, urban planning, interior design, and environmental engineering, cultivating systemic thinking, collaborative problem-solving skills, and the ability to integrate multiple disciplinary perspectives.

Project-Based Learning (PBL) should serve as the core strategy for AI curriculum innovation. Students can engage in authentic design projects such as urban microclimate simulations, ecological optimization, public space layout planning, or generative landscape node design, using AI tools for iterative experimentation, scenario testing, and real-time feedback. Generative design algorithms allow students to explore hundreds of potential design solutions, while parametric modeling tools help optimize structural, ecological, and functional aspects. Environmental simulation platforms enable students to analyze solar exposure, wind flow, water management, and ecological impacts, facilitating evidence-based decision-making. This iterative process strengthens analytical thinking and fosters creativity by allowing students to experiment, evaluate, and refine their designs continuously, ultimately producing more robust and innovative outcomes.

Interdisciplinary collaboration is also critical in AI-enhanced Environmental Art Design education. AI platforms support data integration, modeling, and visualization, enabling students from different disciplines to collaborate effectively. Architecture students can contribute structural analysis, landscape students can provide ecological modeling, and environmental engineering students can input hydrological and energy efficiency data. AI integrates these contributions into a unified platform, facilitating collaborative decision-making, scenario testing, and design optimization. Such collaborative practices cultivate communication, teamwork, and systems-level thinking skills, preparing students to operate effectively in professional environments that increasingly demand multidisciplinary coordination and complex problem-solving capabilities.

AI also enables adaptive, personalized learning experiences. Intelligent assessment systems can monitor students' design iterations, data analyses, and decision-making processes, providing targeted feedback and tailored challenges to strengthen weak areas. By tracking students' progress in real time, AI platforms create detailed learning portfolios, offering instructors data-driven insights to adjust

teaching strategies, optimize project workflows, and scaffold learning effectively. This process-oriented assessment approach shifts the focus from final outputs to iterative learning, encouraging reflective practice, continuous skill development, and sustained innovation. Resource planning and faculty development are integral to the successful implementation of AI-driven curriculum reform. Universities should establish dedicated AI laboratories or design studios, equipped with advanced software for generative design, parametric modeling, environmental simulation, and cloud computing platforms to support real-world project experimentation. Faculty development programs, including workshops, seminars, and international exchanges, are necessary to enhance instructors' proficiency with AI technologies and their integration into pedagogy. Aligning faculty expertise with AI-enhanced course objectives ensures that students receive effective guidance, mentorship, and feedback throughout their learning journey.

Additionally, curriculum innovation should incorporate international best practices while considering local educational contexts. Systematic integration of AI across all levels of Environmental Art Design education, combined with project-based and interdisciplinary approaches, allows students to develop both technical proficiency and creative problem-solving abilities. The combination of AI tools, hands-on projects, and adaptive learning technologies ensures that graduates are not only proficient in digital design methodologies but also capable of innovating and collaborating in complex professional environments. In the long term, this approach can bridge the skills gap, enhance employability, and cultivate designers equipped to navigate the intelligent, digital, and data-driven future of environmental design.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that AI has the potential to transform Environmental Art Design education fundamentally. Through systematic, curriculum-wide integration, AI can support iterative, data-driven design practices, promote interdisciplinary collaboration, foster creativity, and develop technical proficiency. Combined with targeted faculty development, robust resource allocation, and evidence-based project-based learning, AI-driven curriculum innovation provides a comprehensive framework for preparing a new generation of designers who are adaptable, innovative, and capable of meeting the complex challenges of contemporary and future environmental design practice. Such strategic implementation ensures that Environmental Art Design education remains forward-looking, sustainable, and globally competitive in the era of artificial intelligence, setting a benchmark for curriculum innovation and pedagogical excellence.

REFERENCES

Wu, Z., & Liu, X. (2020). Research and practice on online and offline hybrid experimental teaching mode. *Journal of Liaoning University (Natural Science Edition), 47*(3), 284–288.

Smith, J. (2020). Innovations in landscape architecture education: A review of current practices. *Journal of Landscape Architecture, 15*(2), 45–60.

Brown, R. (2019). Assessing educational quality: Gaps in landscape architecture programs. *Education and Society, 10*(3), 78–92.

Luo, J. (2025). Design and evaluation of AI learning tools in higher education: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Journal*. SpringerOpen. https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-025-00540-2

Oh, D. (2024). Application of generative AI in higher education design studios. *Design Society*. https://share.google/VLrQbzL7YAXx8ysXz

Giannakos, M. (2025). Learning, design, and technology in the age of AI: An interdisciplinary perspective. *Learning, Design and Technology*. Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2025.2469394

Sreenivasan, A. (2024). Design thinking and artificial intelligence: A systematic review. *Journal of Design Studies*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2096248724000201

Rana, V. (2025). Generative AI in design thinking pedagogy: Enhancing creativity, critical thinking, and ethical reasoning. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*. https://share.google/pu4B4J8CJodKEJJMi

Huangfu, Z., Kotchasit, S., & Klangphahol, K. (2025). Development of landscape planning and design courses based on the design thinking process combined with applications to enhance students' learning achievement and innovative ability. *International Journal of Sociologies and Anthropologies Science Reviews*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395814095

Xing, Y., Gan, W., Chen, Q., & Yu, P. S. (2025). AI-generated content in landscape architecture: A survey. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 220,* 104321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2022.104321

Tuya, A. (2025). Integrating artificial intelligence in landscape architecture: Enhancing sustainable design and maintenance practices. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on New Computational Social Science (ICNCSS 2025)* (pp. 127–137). https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-38476-456-3_15

Liang, J. (2024). Enhanced art design education: Integrating artificial intelligence. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Technology and Education Innovation (ITEI 2024)* (pp. 45–52). https://doi.org/10.2991/itei-24.2024.12

Li, M. (2024). Generative AI for sustainable design: A case study in design education. In *Proceedings of the 2024 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Design* (pp. 45–58). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-60441-6_5

Xing, Y., Gan, W., & Chen, Q. (2024). Artificial intelligence in landscape architecture: A survey. *Journal of Landscape Architecture, 12*(3), 22–35. https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.14700